

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,794	12/30/2003	Emily H. Qi	10559-898001 / P17946	5375
	7590 01/16/2008 ARDSON, PC			
P.O. BOX 1022	2	12/30/2003 Emily H. Qi 10559-898001 / P17946 5375 SON, PC EXAMINER LOUIE, OSCAR A ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2136 MAIL DATE DELIVERY MODE	SCAR A	
MINNEAPOLI	18, MIN 33440-1022		10559-898001 / P17946 5375 EXAMINER LOUIE, OSCAR A ART UNIT PAPER NUM 2136 MAIL DATE DELIVERY M	PAPER NUMBER
			2136	
,				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/749,794	QI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	T		
		Oscar A. Louie	2136			
The MAILII	NG DATE of this communication app			ddress -		
Period for Reply						
WHICHEVER IS L - Extensions of time mar after SIX (6) MONTHS - If NO period for reply is - Failure to reply within the control of the cont	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAY be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication. It is specified above, the maximum statutory period whe set or extended period for reply will, by statute, the Office later than three months after the mailing justment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 16(a). In no event, however, may a rejuil till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	ATION. by be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive	to communication(s) filed on 01 No	ovember 2007.				
2a)⊠ This action	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) ☐ Since this a	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in ac	cordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claim	S					
<u> </u>	1 <u>2, 14-23, 25-35, and 37-47</u> is/are p	ending in the application.				
·— · · · —	pove claim(s) is/are withdraw					
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-1</u>	12, 14-23, 25-35, and 37-47 is/are re	ejected.				
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers						
	ation is objected to by the Examiner	·.				
	(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce		y the Examiner.			
Applicant ma	y not request that any objection to the c	lrawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement	drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
11) ☐ The oath or o	declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form P	TO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119					
	ment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & :	119(a)-(d) or (f)			
· —	Some * c) None of:	priority under oo o.o.o. 3	110(a) (a) 01 (1).			
/ _ /	ied copies of the priority documents	have been received.				
<u> </u>	ied copies of the priority documents	•	plication No.			
	es of the certified copies of the priori			l Stage		
applio	cation from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•			
* See the attac	hed detailed Office action for a list o	of the certified copies not re	eceived.			
			,			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References	•	4) Interview Su				
	on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Mail Date ormal Patent Application			
3) Information Disclosu	re Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	6) Cher:	omai i atom phoanon			

DETAILED ACTION

This final action is in response to the amendment filed on 11/01/2007. In light of the applicant's amendments, the examiner withdraws his previous standing 35 U.S.C. 112 2nd paragraph rejection regarding Claims 37-39 & 42-44. Claims 1-12, 14-23, 25-35, & 37-47 is/are pending and has/have been considered as follows.

Specification

- 1. Claims 29 & 33 are objected to because of the following informalities:

 The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required:
 - Claims 29 & 33 recite the limitation "a computer readable medium" in lines 1-2. There is
 insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in these claims. The applicant's
 specification recites "memory" but does not recite any disclosure for "a computer
 readable medium."

Claim Objections

- 2. Claims 37-39, 42-45, & 47 objected to because of the following informalities:
 - Claims 37-39, 42-45, & 47 lines 2 & 4 recite the term "operable to" which should be "... configured to..." Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,794

Art Unit: 2136

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

1. Claims 1-3, 5, 20, 21, 23, 25, 26, 29, 31, 33, 35, 37, 40-43 rejected under 35

U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ekberg (US-7003282-B1).

Claim 1:

Ekberg discloses a machine-implemented method comprising,

- "producing a first authentication message" (i.e. "The terminal (the Kerberos client) sends to the ticket-granting server such a request in accordance with the Kerberos protocol, which contains the name (s, e.g. terminal B) of that server, for which the ticket is desired") [column 9 lines 60-63];
- "authentication data encrypted with a first key" (i.e. "a ticket T.sub.c,tgs encrypted with the ticket granting server's own key K.sub.tgs for access to the ticket-granting service and an authenticator Ac, which is encrypted with a connection-specific key K.sub.c,tgs")

 [column 9 lines 64-66];
- "a data structure comprising the first key" (i.e. "Thereupon the Kerberos server generates a ticket Tc,tgs, with which the client may use the ticket-granting service") [column 8 lines 46-48];
- "wherein the data structure is encrypted with a second key" (i.e. "This ticket is encrypted using key K.sub.tgs") [column 8 line 54];

10/749,794

Art Unit: 2136

- "generating in a mobile device a registration request message to have a home agent associated with a home network deliver datagrams destined for a home address associated with the mobile device on the home network to a second address on a second, different network" (i.e. "The last-mentioned performs checks with the mobile node's home agent, registers the mobile node and sends the registration information to it. Packets addressed to the mobile node are sent to the mobile node's original location (to the home agent), thence they are relayed further to the current foreign agent, which will forward them to the mobile node") [column 3 lines 53-59];
- "embedding the authentication message in the request message" (i.e. "Then the Kerberos server transmits as a response to the client a packet, which contains the encrypted ticket and a copy of the connection-specific key K.sub.c,tgs") [column 8 lines 56-58].

Claim 2:

Ekberg discloses a machine-implemented method, as in Claim 1 above, further comprising,

- "the authentication data comprises a timestamp" (i.e. "timestamp") [column 10 line 3].

Claim 3:

Ekberg discloses a machine-implemented method, as in Claim 1 above, further comprising,

- "the second key is known to the first network device and unknown to the mobile node"

(i.e. "This ticket is encrypted using key K.sub.tgs, which is known only to the ticketgranting server and to the Kerberos server") [column 8 lines 54-56].

10/749,794 Art Unit: 2136

Claim 5:

Ekberg discloses a machine-implemented method, as in Claim 1 above, further comprising,

- "the data structure comprises a Kerberos ticket" (i.e. "the Kerberos server generates a ticket") [column 8 lines 46-47].

Claim 13:

Ekberg discloses a machine-implemented method, as in Claim 1 above, further comprising,

- "the request message comprises a Registration Request message" (i.e. "the terminal sends a RR (Registration Request)") [column 5 lines 3-4].

Claim 20:

Ekberg discloses a machine-implemented method comprising,

- "receiving at a home agent associated with a home network an authentication message embedded in a registration request message to reroute datagrams destined for a first address of a mobile device associated with the home network to a second address not associated with the home network" (i.e. "The last-mentioned performs checks with the mobile node's home agent, registers the mobile node and sends the registration information to it. Packets addressed to the mobile node are sent to the mobile node's original location (to the home agent), thence they are relayed further to the current foreign agent, which will forward them to the mobile node") [column 3 lines 53-59];
- "wherein the request message comprises: a data structure that includes a first key encrypted with a second key" (i.e. "Thereupon the Kerberos server generates a ticket Tc,tgs, with which the client may use the ticket-granting service... This ticket is encrypted using key K.sub.tgs") [column 8 lines 46-48 & 54];

10/749,794

Art Unit: 2136

- "determining if the authentication message is valid" (i.e. "The ticket-granting server checks the authenticator's information and the ticket T.sub.c,tgs") [column 10 lines 4-5].

Claim 21:

Ekberg discloses a machine-implemented method, as in Claim 20 above, further comprising,

- "generating a third key if the authentication message is determined to be valid" (i.e. "If the ticket is all right, the ticket-granting server generates a new random session key K.sub.c,s") [column 10 lines 5-8].

Claim 23:

Ekberg discloses a machine-implemented method, as in Claim 20 above, further comprising,

- "the authentication message comprises a hash of the request message" (i.e. "The registration is based on a check value calculated from the registration message (from the registration request or reply)") [column 5 lines 25-29];
- "wherein the hash is computed using the first key" (i.e. "The registration is based on a check value calculated from the registration message (from the registration request or reply), and the registration must be made only between that mobile node and that home agent, which have a shared fixed key (which is agreed upon in advance)") [column 5 lines 25-29].

Claim 24:

Ekberg discloses a machine-implemented method, as in Claim 20 above, further comprising,

- "the request message comprises a Registration Request message" (i.e. "the terminal sends a RR (Registration Request)") [column 5 lines 3-4].

Claim 25:

Ekberg discloses a machine-implemented method, as in Claim 23 above, further comprising,

- "determining if the authentication message is valid comprises: computing a hash of the request message using the first key" (i.e. "The registration is based on a check value calculated from the registration message (from the registration request or reply), and the registration must be made only between that mobile node and that home agent, which have a shared fixed key (which is agreed upon in advance)") [column 5 lines 25-29];
- "determining if the authentication message is valid comprises: comparing the computed hash to the authentication message" (i.e. "The registration is based on a check value calculated from the registration message (from the registration request or reply), and the registration must be made only between that mobile node and that home agent, which have a shared fixed key (which is agreed upon in advance)") [column 5 lines 25-29].

Claim 26:

Ekberg discloses a machine-implemented method, as in Claim 25 above, further comprising,

- "decrypting the data structure using the second key to obtain the first key" (i.e. "The terminal of the third party gets the recently generated session key K.sub.c,s from the ticket by first decrypting the ticket with its own key Kc") [column 10 lines 17-19].

10/749,794 Art Unit: 2136

Claim 29:

<u>Ekberg</u> discloses a computer program product residing on a computer readable medium having instructions stored thereon comprising,

- "form an authentication message" (i.e. "The terminal (the Kerberos client) sends to the ticket-granting server such a request in accordance with the Kerberos protocol, which contains the name (s, e.g. terminal B) of that server, for which the ticket is desired") [column 9 lines 60-63];
- "authentication data encrypted with a first key" (i.e. "a ticket T.sub.c,tgs encrypted with the ticket granting server's own key K.sub.tgs for access to the ticket-granting service and an authenticator Ac, which is encrypted with a connection-specific key K.sub.c,tgs") [column 9 lines 64-66];
- "the first key encrypted with a second key" (i.e. "This ticket is encrypted using key K.sub.tgs") [column 8 line 54];
- Internet Protocol address of a mobile device be routed to a second Internet Protocol address" (i.e. "The last-mentioned performs checks with the mobile node's home agent, registers the mobile node and sends the registration information to it. Packets addressed to the mobile node are sent to the mobile node's original location (to the home agent), thence they are relayed further to the current foreign agent, which will forward them to the mobile node") [column 3 lines 53-59];

- "include the authentication request message in the request message" (i.e. "Then the Kerberos server transmits as a response to the client a packet, which contains the encrypted ticket and a copy of the connection-specific key K.sub.c,tgs") [column 8 lines 56-58].

Claim 31:

<u>Ekberg</u> discloses a computer program product residing on a computer readable medium having instructions stored thereon, as in Claim 29 above, further comprising,

- "instructions to generate a hash of the request message using the first key to form a second authentication message" (i.e. "The registration is based on a check value calculated from the registration message (from the registration request or reply)")
[column 5 lines 25-29].

Claim 33:

<u>Ekberg</u> discloses a computer program product residing on a computer readable medium having instructions stored thereon comprising,

"extract an authentication message from a registration request message requesting that datagrams destined for a first Internet Protocol address of a mobile device be routed to a second Internet Protocol address" (i.e. "The terminal (the Kerberos client) sends to the ticket-granting server such a request in accordance with the Kerberos protocol, which contains the name (s, e.g. terminal B) of that server, for which the ticket is desired") [column 9 lines 60-63];

10/749,794 Art Unit: 2136

- "wherein the authentication message comprises: authentication data encrypted with a first key" (i.e. "a ticket T.sub.c,tgs encrypted with the ticket granting server's own key K.sub.tgs for access to the ticket-granting service and an authenticator Ac, which is encrypted with a connection-specific key K.sub.c,tgs") [column 9 lines 64-66];
- "wherein the authentication message comprises: a data structure comprising the first key, and encrypted with a second key" (i.e. "Thereupon the Kerberos server generates a ticket Tc,tgs, with which the client may use the ticket-granting service... This ticket is encrypted using key K.sub.tgs") [column 8 lines 46-48 & 54];
- "verify the authentication data" (i.e. "If the ticket is all right, the ticket-granting server generates a new random session key K.sub.c,s") [column 10 lines 5-8];
- "if the authentication data is valid, then generating a third key" (i.e. "If the ticket is all right, the ticket-granting server generates a new random session key K.sub.c,s") [column 10 lines 5-8].

Claim 35:

<u>Ekberg</u> discloses a computer program product residing on a computer readable medium having instructions stored thereon, as in Claim 33 above, further comprising,

- "instructions that cause the processor to: store the encryption key" [Fig 8 illustrates storage].

10/749,794 Art Unit: 2136

Claim 36:

<u>Ekberg</u> discloses a computer program product residing on a computer readable medium having instructions stored thereon, as in Claim 33 above, further comprising,

- "the message comprises a Registration Request message" (i.e. "the terminal sends a RR (Registration Request)") [column 5 lines 3-4].

Claim 37:

Ekberg discloses a system comprising,

- "a home agent associated with a first network" (i.e. "the router will notice that the user has entered the network") [column 11 lines 55-58];
- "a second network device associated with the first network" (i.e. "the network must include a separate "locating agent", which by monitoring or "pinging" the router")
 [column 10 lines 22-23];
- "the second network device operable to: produce an authentication message including a data structure comprising the first key with the data structure encrypted with a second key" (i.e. "Thereupon the Kerberos server generates a ticket Tc,tgs, with which the client may use the ticket-granting service... This ticket is encrypted using key K.sub.tgs... The terminal (the Kerberos client) sends to the ticket-granting server such a request in accordance with the Kerberos protocol, which contains the name (s, e.g. terminal B) of that server, for which the ticket is desired") [column 8 lines 46-48 & 54 and column 9 lines 60-63];

- "generate a registration request message to have the home agent deliver datagrams destined for a home address associated with the second device on the first network to a second address on a second, different network" (i.e. "The last-mentioned performs checks with the mobile node's home agent, registers the mobile node and sends the registration information to it. Packets addressed to the mobile node are sent to the mobile node's original location (to the home agent), thence they are relayed further to the current foreign agent, which will forward them to the mobile node") [column 3 lines 53-59];
- "include the authentication message within the request message" (i.e. "Then the Kerberos server transmits as a response to the client a packet, which contains the encrypted ticket and a copy of the connection-specific key K.sub.c,tgs") [column 8 lines 56-58].

Claim 40:

Ekberg discloses a system, as in Claim 37 above, further comprising,

- "the first network device is a router" (i.e. "by monitoring or "pinging" the router will notice that the user has entered the network") [column 11 lines 55-58].

Claim 41:

Ekberg discloses a system, as in Claim 37 above, further comprising,

- "the second network device is a laptop computer" (i.e. "a portable computer (with software)") [column 4 lines 31-32].

10/749,794 Art Unit: 2136

Claim 42:

Ekberg discloses a system, as in Claim 37 above, further comprising,

- "a third device operable to produce the first key and the data structure encrypted with the second key" (i.e. "Thereupon the Kerberos server generates a ticket Tc,tgs, with which the client may use the ticket-granting service... This ticket is encrypted using key K.sub.tgs") [column 8 lines 46-48 & 54].

Claim 43:

Ekberg discloses a system comprising,

- "a router associated with a home network" (i.e. "the router will notice that the user has entered the network") [column 11 lines 55-58];
- "an input port for receiving datagrams" (i.e. "the network must include a separate "locating agent", which by monitoring or "pinging" the router") [column 11 lines 54-55];
- "a switch fabric for determining destination of datagrams" (i.e. "The last-mentioned performs checks with the mobile node's home agent, registers the mobile node and sends the registration information to it. Packets addressed to the mobile node are sent to the mobile node's original location (to the home agent), thence they are relayed further to the current foreign agent, which will forward them to the mobile node") [column 3 lines 53-59];
- "a processor operable to: read a registration request message to reroute datagrams destined for a first address of a mobile device associated with the home network to a second address associated with a second, different network" (i.e. "The last-mentioned performs checks with the mobile node's home agent, registers the mobile node and sends

10/749,794

Art Unit: 2136

the registration information to it. Packets addressed to the mobile node are sent to the mobile node's original location (to the home agent), thence they are relayed further to the current foreign agent, which will forward them to the mobile node") [column 3 lines 53-59];

- "wherein the request message includes a data structure comprising a first key unknown to the processor encrypted with a second key that is known to the processor" (i.e. "Thereupon the Kerberos server generates a ticket Tc,tgs, with which the client may use the ticket-granting service... This ticket is encrypted using key K.sub.tgs") [column 8 lines 46-48 & 54];
- "verify an authentication message associated with the request message" (i.e. "If the ticket is all right, the ticket-granting server generates a new random session key K.sub.c,s")

 [column 10 lines 5-8];
- "wherein the authentication message comprises a hashed version of the request message computed using the first key" (i.e. "The registration is based on a check value calculated from the registration message (from the registration request or reply)") [column 5 lines 25-29];
- "if the authentication message is valid, then generate a third key" (i.e. "If the ticket is all right, the ticket-granting server generates a new random session key K.sub.c,s") [column 10 lines 5-8].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 4, 6-12, 14-19, 22, 27, 28, 30, 32, 34, 38, 39, & 44-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Ekberg</u> (US-7003282-B1).

Claim 4:

<u>Ekberg</u> discloses a machine-implemented method, as in Claim 1 above, but does not explicitly disclose,

- "the authentication message comprises a Kerberos Application Request" however, <u>Ekberg</u> does disclose,
 - "the terminal sends a RR (Registration Request) to its own home agent through foreign agent" [column 5 line 9];

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to include, "the authentication message comprises a Kerberos Application Request," in the invention as disclosed by Ekberg since any request sent by the client/terminal (i.e. mobile device) that involves a Kerberos server would imply that there would be Kerberos requests for various services (i.e. Applications).

10/749,794 Art Unit: 2136

Claim 6:

<u>Ekberg</u> discloses a machine-implemented method, as in Claim 1 above, but does not explicitly disclose,

- "generating a second authentication message"

however, Ekberg does disclose,

- "The terminal (the Kerberos client) sends to the ticket-granting server such a request in accordance with the Kerberos protocol" [column 9 lines 60-63];

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to include, "generating a second authentication message," in the invention as disclosed by Ekberg since a Kerberos server/system would be used by many terminals and devices which implies the creation/generation of many requests and messages (i.e. first/second/third/etc authentication messages).

Claim 7:

Ekberg discloses a machine-implemented method, as in Claim 6 above, further comprising,

- "generating a hash of the request message using the first key" (i.e. "The registration is based on a check value calculated from the registration message (from the registration request or reply), and the registration must be made only between that mobile node and that home agent, which have a shared fixed key (which is agreed upon in advance)") [column 5 lines 25-29].

10/749,794 Art Unit: 2136

Claim 8:

Ekberg discloses a machine-implemented method, as in Claim 6 above, further comprising,

"transmitting the request message and second authentication message to the home agent" (i.e. "The last-mentioned performs checks with the mobile node's home agent, registers the mobile node and sends the registration information to it. Packets addressed to the mobile node are sent to the mobile node's original location (to the home agent), thence they are relayed further to the current foreign agent, which will forward them to the mobile node") [column 5 lines 25-29].

Claim 9:

Ekberg discloses a machine-implemented method, as in Claim 8 above, further comprising,

- "receiving the request message and second authentication message by the home agent on the home network" (i.e. "The last-mentioned performs checks with the mobile node's home agent, registers the mobile node and sends the registration information to it.
 Packets addressed to the mobile node are sent to the mobile node's original location (to the home agent), thence they are relayed further to the current foreign agent, which will forward them to the mobile node") [column 3 lines 53-59];
- "decrypting the data structure using the second key to obtain the first key" (i.e. "The terminal of the third party gets the recently generated session key K.sub.c,s from the ticket by first decrypting the ticket with its own key Kc") [column 10 lines 17-19].

Claim 10:

Ekberg discloses a machine-implemented method, as in Claim 9 above, further comprising,

- "verifying the second authentication message using the first key" (i.e. "Thereafter the new session key is available to both terminals and encrypted data transmission may begin") [column 10 lines 19-21].

Claim 11:

Ekberg discloses a machine-implemented method, as in Claim 9 above, further comprising,

- "generating a third key" (i.e. "If it does, it will generate a random connection-specific key K.sub.c,tgs, which will be used later in data transmission between the client and the ticket-granting server") [column 8 lines 43-44].

Claim 12:

Ekberg discloses a machine-implemented method, as in Claim 9 above, further comprising,

- "generating key material" (i.e. "If it does, it will generate a random connection-specific key K.sub.c,tgs, which will be used later in data transmission between the client and the ticket-granting server") [column 8 lines 43-44];
- "wherein the key material-may be supplied to a function to generate a third key" (i.e. "it will generate a random connection-specific key K.sub.c,tgs") [column 8 lines 43-44].

Claim 14:

Ekberg discloses a machine-implemented method, as in Claim 11 above, further comprising,

- "forming a reply authentication message comprising the third key encrypted with the first key" (i.e. "Then the ticket-granting server forms a new ticket T.sub.c,s for the said third party, encrypts the ticket using the said third party's own key K.sub.s, which is the same as the concerned subscriber's key Kc described above, and transmits the encrypted key together with the session key to the terminal") [column 10 lines 9-13].

Claim 15:

<u>Ekberg</u> discloses a machine-implemented method, as in Claim 14 above, but does not explicitly disclose,

- "the reply authentication message comprises a Kerberos Application Reply message" however, <u>Ekberg</u> does disclose,
 - "the terminal sends a RR (Registration Request) to its own home agent through foreign agent" [column 5 line 9];

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to include, "the reply authentication message comprises a Kerberos Application Reply message," in the invention as disclosed by <u>Ekberg</u> since any request sent by the client/terminal (i.e. mobile device) that involves a Kerberos server would imply that there would be Kerberos requests for various services (i.e. Applications).

10/749,794 Art Unit: 2136

Claim 16:

Ekberg discloses a machine-implemented method, as in Claim 14 above, further comprising,

"forming a reply message that includes the reply authentication message" (i.e. "In the reply message there is all the necessary information indicating how (on what conditions) the home agent has accepted the registration request") [column 5 lines 10-12].

Claim 17:

Ekberg discloses a machine-implemented method, as in Claim 16 above, further comprising,

"the reply message comprises a Registration Reply message" (i.e. "the terminal sends a RR (Registration Request) to its own home agent through foreign agent") [column 5 line 9].

Claim 18:

Ekberg discloses a machine-implemented method, as in Claim 14 above, further comprising,

"transmitting the reply message and third authentication message to the mobile node" (i.e. "The last-mentioned performs checks with the mobile node's home agent, registers the mobile node and sends the registration information to it. Packets addressed to the mobile node are sent to the mobile node's original location (to the home agent), thence they are relayed further to the current foreign agent, which will forward them to the mobile node") [column 3 lines 53-59]:

but does not explicitly disclose,

"generating a third authentication message"

however, Ekberg does disclose,

- "The terminal (the Kerberos client) sends to the ticket-granting server such a request in accordance with the Kerberos protocol" [column 9 lines 60-63];

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to include, "generating a third authentication message," in the invention as disclosed by Ekberg since a Kerberos server/system would be used by many terminals and devices which implies the creation/generation of many requests and messages (i.e. first/second/third/etc authentication messages).

Claim 19:

Ekberg discloses a machine-implemented method, as in Claim 18 above, further comprising,

- "generating a hash of the reply authentication message using the first key" (i.e. "The registration is based on a check value calculated from the registration message (from the registration request or reply), and the registration must be made only between that mobile node and that home agent, which have a shared fixed key (which is agreed upon in advance)") [column 5 lines 25-29].

Claim 22:

Ekberg discloses a machine-implemented method, as in Claim 20 above, further comprising,

- "generating key material if the authentication message is determined to be valid" (i.e. "If the ticket is all right, the ticket-granting server generates a new random session key K.sub.c,s") [column 10 lines 5-8];

10/749,794 Art Unit: 2136

but does not explicitly disclose,

"wherein the key material may be supplied to a function known to the first device and the mobile device to produce a third key"

however, Ekberg does disclose,

- "it will generate a random connection-specific key K.sub.c,tgs" [column 8 lines 43-44];

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to include, "wherein the key material may be supplied to a function known to the first device and the mobile device to produce a third key," in the invention as disclosed by Ekberg since the key is generated based on random connection-specific information and it is implied that a different key would be generated each time (i.e. first/second/third/etc keys).

Claim 27:

Ekberg discloses a machine-implemented method, as in Claim 21 above, further comprising,

- "receiving a reply message from the home agent by the mobile device" [Fig 7 illustrates requests and reply messages];

but does not explicitly disclose,

- "wherein the reply message includes the third key"

however, Ekberg does disclose,

- [Fig 7 illustrates a key which would be one of many keys];

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to include, "wherein the reply message includes the third key," in the invention as disclosed by <u>Ekberg</u> since it is understood that for each request from each device, a different key would be generated (i.e. first/second/third/etc keys).

Claim 28:

Ekberg discloses a machine-implemented method, as in Claim 27 above, further comprising,

"transmitting the second request message and second authentication message to the first device" (i.e. "The last-mentioned performs checks with the mobile node's home agent, registers the mobile node and sends the registration information to it. Packets addressed to the mobile node are sent to the mobile node's original location (to the home agent), thence they are relayed further to the current foreign agent, which will forward them to the mobile node") [column 3 lines 53-59];

but does not explicitly disclose,

- "forming a second request message to have datagrams destined for a first address of a mobile device associated with the home network to a third address not associated with the home network"
- "forming a second authentication message using the third key"

however, Ekberg does disclose,

- "The last-mentioned performs checks with the mobile node's home agent, registers the mobile node and sends the registration information to it. Packets addressed to the mobile node are sent to the mobile node's original location (to the home agent), thence they are relayed further to the current foreign agent, which will forward them to the mobile node" [column 3 lines 53-59];
- "The terminal (the Kerberos client) sends to the ticket-granting server such a request in accordance with the Kerberos protocol" [column 9 lines 60-63];

10/749,794

Art Unit: 2136

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to include, "forming a second request message to have datagrams destined for a first address of a mobile device associated with the home network to a third address not associated with the home network" and "forming a second authentication message using the third key," in the invention as disclosed by Ekberg since it is understood from Ekberg's disclosure that each device would communicate with the Kerberos server/system and the relaying of information among the mobile device, home agent, and foreign agent implies that several authentication messages would be exchanged between each of these nodes and the Kerberos server. Thus, also implying that several keys would be generated (i.e. first/second/third/etc keys).

Claim 30:

<u>Ekberg</u> discloses a computer program product residing on a computer readable medium having instructions stored thereon, as in Claim 29 above, but does not explicitly disclose,

- "the authentication message comprises a Kerberos Application Request message" however, <u>Ekberg</u> does disclose,
 - "the terminal sends a RR (Registration Request) to its own home agent through foreign agent" [column 5 line 9];

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to include, "the authentication message comprises a Kerberos Application Request message," in the invention as disclosed by Ekberg since any request sent by the client/terminal (i.e. mobile device) that involves a Kerberos server would imply that there would be Kerberos requests for various services (i.e. Applications).

Claim 32:

<u>Ekberg</u> discloses a computer program product residing on a computer readable medium having instructions stored thereon, as in Claim 29 above, further comprising,

- "receive a reply message from the first device by the mobile device" [Fig 7 illustrates request and reply messages];

but does not explicitly disclose,

- "wherein the reply message includes a third key"
- "form a second authentication message using the third key"
- "transmit a second request message to have datagrams destined for a first address of a mobile device associated with the home network to a third address not associated with the home network"
- "wherein the second authentication message is included in the second request message"
 however, <u>Ekberg</u> does disclose,
 - [Fig 7 illustrates a key which would be one of many];
 - "The terminal (the Kerberos client) sends to the ticket-granting server such a request in accordance with the Kerberos protocol" [column 9 lines 60-63];
 - "The last-mentioned performs checks with the mobile node's home agent, registers the mobile node and sends the registration information to it. Packets addressed to the mobile node are sent to the mobile node's original location (to the home agent), thence they are relayed further to the current foreign agent, which will forward them to the mobile node" [column 3 lines 53-59];

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to include, "wherein the reply message includes a third key" and "form a second authentication message using the third key" and "transmit a second request message to have datagrams destined for a first address of a mobile device associated with the home network to a third address not associated with the home network" and "wherein the second authentication message is included in the second request message," in the invention as disclosed by Ekberg since it is understood from Ekberg's disclosure that each device would communicate with the Kerberos server/system and the relaying of information among the mobile device, home agent, and foreign agent implies that several authentication messages would be exchanged between each of these nodes and the Kerberos server. Thus, also implying that several keys would be generated (i.e. first/second/third/etc keys).

Claim 34:

<u>Ekberg</u> discloses a computer program product residing on a computer readable medium having instructions stored thereon, as in Claim 33 above, further comprising,

- "transmit the reply message to a device associated with the request message" [Fig 7 illustrates request and reply messages];

but does not disclose,

- "form a reply message that includes the third key"

however, Ekberg does disclose,

- [Fig 7 illustrates a key which would be one of many];

10/749,794

Art Unit: 2136

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to include, "form a reply message that includes the third key," in the invention as disclosed by <u>Ekberg</u> since it is understood that for each request from each device, a different key would be generated (i.e. first/second/third/etc keys).

Claim 38:

Ekberg discloses a system, as in Claim 37 above, but does not explicitly disclose,

- "the second network device is further operable to form a second authentication message by computing a hash of the request message using the first key"

however, Ekberg does disclose,

- "The registration is based on a check value calculated from the registration message (from the registration request or reply)... The terminal (the Kerberos client) sends to the ticket-granting server such a request in accordance with the Kerberos protocol, which contains the name (s, e.g. terminal B) of that server, for which the ticket is desired" [column 5 lines 25-29 and column 9 lines 60-63];

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to include, "the second network device is further operable to form a second authentication message by computing a hash of the request message using the first key," in the invention as disclosed by <u>Ekberg</u> since a check value is a hash and it is implied that with the use of a Kerberos server/system, there would be many authentication messages (i.e. first/second/third/etc messages).

Claim 39:

Ekberg discloses a system, as in Claim 38 above, further comprising,

- "the home agent is operable to receive the request message and generate a key if the second authentication message is valid" (i.e. "If the ticket is all right, the ticket-granting server generates a new random session key K.sub.c,s") [column 10 lines 5-8].

Claim 44:

Ekberg discloses a system, as in Claim 43 above, but does not explicitly disclose,

- "the processor is further operable to: encrypt the third key"

however, Ekberg does disclose,

- "the ticket-granting server generates a new random session key K.sub.c,s... encrypts the ticket using the said third party's own key K.sub.s" [column 10 lines 6-13];

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to include, "the processor is further operable to: encrypt the third key," in the invention as disclosed by Ekberg since the encryption of a key/ticket containing a key is disclosed by Ekberg and is performed by different devices. Thus, it is implied that these devices (i.e. mobile device/home agent/foreign agent/Kerberos server/etc) would have processors/microprocessors for performing tasks (e.g. encryption).

Claim 45:

Ekberg discloses a system, as in Claim 44 above, but does not explicitly disclose,

- "the processor is further operable to: form a reply message"
- "wherein the reply message includes the encrypted third key"
- "form a reply authentication message"

10/749,794 Art Unit: 2136

however, Ekberg does disclose,

- [Fig 7 illustrates reply messages and a key which would be one of many];

- [Fig 2 illustrates request and reply messages];

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to include, "the processor is further operable to: form a reply message" and "wherein the reply message includes the encrypted third key" and "form a reply authentication message," in the invention as disclosed by Ekberg since it is understood by Ekberg's disclosure that there would be numerous requests and replies among the devices which would imply that there are many keys included (i.e. first/second/third/etc keys).

Claim 46:

Ekberg discloses a system, as in Claim 45 above, further comprising,

- "the reply authentication message comprises a hashed version of the reply message" (i.e. "The registration is based on a check value calculated from the registration message (from the registration request or reply)") [column 5 lines 25-29].

Claim 47:

Ekberg discloses a system, as in Claim 45 above, further comprising,

- "where in the processor is further operable to: transmit the reply message and the reply authentication message to the mobile device at the second address" [Fig 2 illustrates several request and reply messages among the terminal (i.e. mobile device), home agent, foreign agent, security server (i.e. Kerberos), etc].

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 11/01/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding Claims 1, 20, 29, 33, 37, & 43:

The applicant's argument that "There is no disclosure or suggestion, however, that the session key request is "embedded" in the Registration Request message as alleged by the Office action. Instead, the session key request is generated *after* the Registration Request is sent," has been considered but is non-persuasive. Ekberg discloses, "There may be a brief predetermined delay between the reception of the key and the transmission of the message, so that the security server will have time first to perform the registration with the Kerberos server" [column 8 lines 34-37].

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 2136

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Oscar Louie whose telephone number is 571-270-1684.

The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nasser Moazzami, can be reached at 571-272-4195. The fax phone number for Formal or Official faxes to Technology Center 2100 is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

OAL 01/14/2007 Nasser Moazzami Supervisory Patent Examiner

1/15/08